Department of Communication Studies and Philosophy

Communication Studies 2022-2023 Assessment Data

All rubric scores are based on direct assessment of the students by faculty members within the Communication Studies (CMST) program. Details on each rubric can be found in our assessment plan.

1. <u>Objective:</u> Design and deliver effective messages appropriate to the audience, purpose, and context (based on the capstone 35 minute oral presentation).

Rubric is based on a four-point scale with four being the highest.

Presentation Area	Fall 2021	Spring	Fall 2022	Spring 2023
	n=17	2022 n=44	n=21	n=48
Organization	4.0	3.4	3.43	3.7
Language Use	4.0	3.4	3.71	3.4
Delivery Style	3.9	3.1	3.28	3.1
Content & Support	3.9	3.6	3.67	3.5
Central Message	3.9	3.6	3.71	3.8
Total Average Score	3.9	3.4	3.56	3.5

Interpretation: The average scores are the middle of the three point range. Based on the rubric, this means that the average presentation by a CMST graduate has the following qualities:

- 1. A specific introduction and conclusion, sequenced material within the body, and transitions are clearly and consistently observable within the presentation.
- 2. Language choices that are thoughtful and generally support the effectiveness of the presentation. Language in the presentation is appropriate to the audience.
- 3. Uses delivery techniques (posture, gestures, eye contact, vocal expressiveness, and any use of technology) that make the presentation interesting and the speaker appears comfortable.
- 4. Content material, such as explanations, examples, illustrations, statistics, analogies, and quotations from relevant authorities often engages the audience and generally supports the presentation or establish the presenter's credibility/authority on the topic.
- 5. A central message that is clear and consistent with the supporting material. The connection to the audience is also clear.

6.

Below are student perceptions of learning based on our annual survey of students who have graduated in the last year. These are based on a five-point scale with five representing strong agreement that the objective was met for the student, three would be neutral and a one would mean the student strongly disagreed that the objective had been met. Clearly the students believe the objective has been met.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Recent Graduates	4.8 n=16		4.9 n=22	4.8 n=25		4.9 n = 12	4.7 n = 11	_	4.9 n=10 ¹

¹The survey was distributed in early July instead of May, which may account for the smaller numbers of participants.

2. <u>Objective</u>: Effectively explain and apply communication concepts, theories, perspectives, and principles to their personal experiences (based on final what I have learned papers)

Rubric is based on a	four-point s	cale with four	being the highest.

Evaluation Point	Fall 2021	Spring 2022	Fall 2022	Spring 2023
	n=17	n=44	n=21	n=48
Purpose & Organization	3.9	3.4	3.9	3.4
Writing Mechanics	3.8	3.2	3.9	3.0
Connection to Discipline	3.7	3.5	3.9	3.5
Connection to Experience	3.7	3.3	4.0	3.5
Reflection/Self-	3.9	3.3	4.0	3.6
Assessment				
Total Average Score	3.8	3.3	3.94	3.4

Interpretation: The scores across the three semesters average three. The lowest spot is on writing mechanics. Based on the rubric, this means that the typical CMST graduate:

- 1. Demonstrates an adequate consideration of the purpose of the assigned task and organizes the material in a clear format with a preview & summary.
- 2. Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors.
- 3. Demonstrates a clear understanding of the discipline by fully and clearly explaining concepts. Makes tentative or few connections across concepts.
- 4. Effectively selects and develops examples of life experiences from a variety of contexts to illuminate basic concepts in the communication field.
- 5. Demonstrates the ability to evaluate and recognize changes in one's own learning. Able to articulate basic changes in self and identify patterns of behavior.

Below are student perceptions of learning based on our annual survey of students who have graduated in the last year. These are based on a five-point scale with five representing strong agreement that the objective was met for the student, three would be neutral and a one would mean the student strongly disagreed that the objective had been met. Clearly the students believe the objective has been met.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Recent	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8
Graduates	n=16	n=19	n=22	n=25	n=23	n = 12	n = 11	n = 17	n=10

3. Objective: Feel confident in their understanding of how to 1) Build lasting and mutually positive interpersonal relationships; 2) Effectively communicate in organizational settings; 3) Sensitively understand and bridge cultural differences when interacting in a culturally diverse society; and 4) Recognize, plan, and implement strategies of persuasion that are effective and ethical.

This objective is not measured by a rubric as it is grounded in student perception of their abilities. Below are student perceptions of learning based on our annual survey of students who have graduated in the last year: These are based on a five-point scale with five representing strong agreement that the objective was met for the student, three would be neutral and a one would mean the student strongly disagreed that the objective had been met. Clearly the students believe all parts of this objective have been met and the responses show that they have been consistently met for the past six years.

Thematic Area	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
	n=16	n=19	n=22	n=25	n=23	n = 12	n = 11	n = 17	n=10
1. Interpersonal	N/A	N/A	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.9
Communication									
2. Organizational	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.7	5.0
Communication									
3. Intercultural	4.9	5.0	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.8	5.0
Communication									
4. Persuasion/Social	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.4
Influence									

4. Objective: Effectively, critically and systematically analyze messages.

Rubric is based on a three-point scale with three being the highest.

Message Analysis material (n=46)

Area	Fall 2021 n=17	Spring 2022 n=44	Fall 2022 n=21	Spring 2023 n=36
Communication Complexity	3.0	2.6	2.76	2.64
Informed Curiosity	3.0	2.8	2.95	2.72
Communication Sophistication	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.77
Total Average Score	3.0	2.7	2.9	2.71

Interpretation: The scores across the two semesters averaged in the high two's on a three point scale. Based on the rubric, this means that when faced with a problematic communication situation the typical CMST graduate:

- 1. Demonstrates a thorough understanding of potential meanings by articulating three or more potential meanings and motivations behind the analyzed messages.
- 2. Is able to articulate a series of questions that would skillfully guide a person to better understand the message and/or similar future messages from multiple perspectives.
- 3. Is able to provide multiple suggestions for how improve the message grounded in communication concepts

with a recognition of potential benefits and dangers associated with these suggestions.

Percentages of students falling in the three categories

Area	Novice	Emerging	Accomplished
Communication			
Complexity	4%	34%	62%

Informed			
Curiosity	0%	28%	72%
Communication			
Sophistication	2%	22%	76%

Below are student perceptions of learning based on our annual survey of students who have graduated in the last year. These are based on a five-point scale with five representing strong agreement that the objective was met for the student, three would be neutral and a one would mean the student strongly disagreed that the objective had been met. The students clearly feel the objective has been met.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Recent	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8
Graduates	n=16	n=19	n=22	n=25	n=23	n = 12	n = 11	n = 17	n=10

5. Objective: Demonstrate understanding of how to engage in communication inquiry.

Rubric is based on a four-point scale with four being the highest.

Area	Quantitative	Communication	Communication	Communication	Communication	Qualitative
	Methods,	Criticism	Criticism	Criticism	Criticism	methods
	(CMST	(CMST 4820)	(CMST 4820)	(CMST 4820)	(CMST 4820)	(CMST
	4810) Fall	Fall 2022 n=25	Fall 2022 n=13	Fall 2022 n=24	Fall 2022 n=15	4800)
	2022 n=25					Spring,
						2023, n=24
Topic/Fit	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.6
Literature	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	2.9	3.5
Review						
Design	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.7	2.7	3.6
Analysis	3.0	3.6	3.5	3.8	2.7	3.6
Conclusion	3.3	3.3	3.6	3.8	2.6	3.4
Total	3.4	3.5	3.44	3.7	2.92	3.54
Average						
Score						

Interpretation: The scores vary slightly by topic area with the overall average is in the mid-three range. The one outlying class may be based on the instructor teaching the course for the first time. In general, based on the rubric, the average CMST graduate has produced research that:

- 1. Identifies a creative, focused, and manageable topic that addresses potentially significant issues and is appropriate to the method under study.
- 2. Presents in-depth information from relevant sources representing various points of view/approaches.
- 3. Has all elements of the methodology or theoretical framework skillfully developed. Appropriate methodology or theoretical frameworks may be synthesized from across disciplines or from relevant sub-disciplines.
- 4. Organizes evidence to reveal important patterns, differences, or similarities related to focus.

5. States a conclusion focused solely on the inquiry findings. Discusses relevant and supported limitations and implications of the research.

Below are student perceptions of learning based on our annual survey of students who have graduated in the last year: These are based on a five-point scale with five representing strong agreement that the objective was met for the student, three would be neutral and a one would mean the student strongly disagreed that the objective had been met. Our number are consistently in the mid-fours, with the students feeling as though they have a good understanding of the communication methods of inquiry.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Recent	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4
Graduates	n=16	n=19	n=22	n=25	n=23	n = 12	n = 11	n = 17	n=10

Open-Ended Questions during Final Interview on Strengths or Weaknesses/Ways to improve the Program

Qualitative Feedback Spring 2023

Note from the professor: I find that I do need to push them a little bit for ideas on how we can do better as most start off saying it is just great and they have loved it). Also multiple strengths are often mentioned whereas usually only one way to improve is mentioned.

Strengths that came up at least twice in order of frequency

The Professors/Faculty 41 of the 48 interviewed brought this up and often different aspects of the faculty. Below are some of the most common aspect of the faculty noted along with the number of times this was brought up.

The faculty care about and respect the individual student (20)

The ability to access and have an actual relationship with the faculty, often mentioned in contrast to programs where they were not able to have this (14)

The faculty expertise and knowledge (13)

The faculty live (model) what they teach (6)

Diversity of faculty (3)

How applicable the ideas were to their life (13)

Improved their thinking skills and the ability to see things differently (8)

Helped them to understand themselves better and to grow personally (8)
Improved their writing and speaking skills (7)
Diversity of classes (6)
Flexibility to do what fit with their interests (4)
Connections with fellow students (4)
Sense of community with both fellow students and faculty (4)
Our different "areas of emphasis for students to choose from (4)
The diversity of perspectives presented (4)
Small class size (3)
Great classes (3)

Areas students suggested could improve the program that came up at least twice

Require people to take more classes/credits (longer program) (7)

Group projects (3)

More career examples and ideas of potential jobs (perhaps via alumni visits) (7)

The different areas of possible emphasis brought up and explained more earlier in their time in the program (5)

More done as a program to combat the stigma or negative images others have of this major (4)

More research opportunities (or getting the word out on these) (4)

More social activities for communication majors (3)

More internship opportunities (or the word out on these) (3)

More word out on special topics classes when they are offered (3)

Professors sometimes too flexible and lenient (2)

Concern about some political bias against conservatives by faculty and fellow students (2)

And then I will mention one other even though it was only explicitly mentioned by one person during the interviews because it is something I heard mentioned by at least 6-7

others early in the semester – Tell Students early on in classes to Save your Notes it will help when you take the capstone class.

Qualitative feedback Fall 2022

Program Strengths:

Faculty! This is the constant piece of feedback. Faculty that see students, care about them individually, teach great classes, mentor them, create welcoming environments, practice what they preach, and challenge and stretch them.

Cassidy Hansen. Students greatly appreciate Cassidy and her help. She's bringing in majors

through her exploratory conversations.

Smaller class sizes and positive learning environments.

Diversity of topics to study and major emphases

Opportunities for research and mentoring outside the classroom

Applicability of content for personal and professional growth

Programs teaches students to love learning and become better people.

Closeness with other students in classes; personal relationships with peers that are meaningful.

Many come because they are needing a major and ours seems most interesting or they hear

good things, then the arrive and are very happy with the content and faculty. (This is good to

know about a good number of our majors.)

Areas for Improvement:

Market the program better so students know about it sooner. (Many wished they could have

started sooner.)

Majors feel lost when they start. They like the content but have no real roadmap outside of

the Catalog to guide decisions. Need to know more about what classes mean and what they

do. Some course names are unhelpful.

Better ways to talk about the major to others to combat weird or bad stereotypes of the

major. The name of the program isn't helpful. This needs to happen early on and not just in capstone.

Increase class caps just a little so more students can have seats in upper division classes.

More chances to take additional classes with professors; more classes with full-time rather

than part-time faculty.

More sections of Persuasion. More health classes. Regular sections of emotions and listening

Spread out upper division courses more across days and times.

More connection of content to professional goals

Ways to learn about getting involved in research earlier in the program. Some don't know about it.

More alumni connections